

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

- 1) **Consultation ID:** 6289342 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Marston Way
Objection/Representation: I would like to bring to your attention the impact that the Marston Way / Biggin Way School Street scheme is having on the residents of Biggin Hill. While your scheme is trying to discourage parents from using cars, what actually seems to be happening is parents using alternative roads to park on. Residents of Biggin Hill have noticed an increased number of cars parking on Biggin Hill during peak school drop off/collection times. The amount of traffic on Biggin Hill has also significantly increased during peak times. Residents of Biggin Hill have complained multiples times about the lack of traffic calming measures on Biggin Hill - so now that you have diverted traffic to Biggin Hill due to the implementation of this scheme, Do you intend to turn your attention to Biggin Hill now? Also the residents of Biggin Hill will also need permits to use Biggin Way seeing as we are also impacted.
- 2) **Consultation ID:** 6289580 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Marston Way
Objection/Representation: Your School Street scheme on Biggin Way/Marston Way has pushed traffic onto Biggin Hill. We are also competing against parents dropping/collecting their kids for parking spaces outside our houses at peak times. Please look at traffic calming measures on Biggin Hill, seeing as you have now made the problem worse for us...
- 3) **Consultation ID:** 6292631 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Objection/Representation: I understand the thinking behind introducing this scheme but I am concerned about the impact on parking spaces for the surrounding streets not included in the scheme. I live on Watcombe Road where there is already limited parking for residents as there are no resident permits in place. It is not clear where school traffic will be expected to park as a result of the scheme but it seems likely it will be on neighbouring roads. For non residents who currently use Sandown/Oakley Road to park, again they are also likely to look for alternative parking on neighbouring roads. There is already an issue with local car dealerships/repair businesses using the area for parking their vehicles and I believe the school street scheme will only compound the current issues with parking and negatively impact residents. I also question what impact the scheme will actually have on reducing traffic/pollution for school children as it seems likely that school traffic will still need to stop relatively nearby in order to drop off children. I would be in favour of introducing resident permits for roads in the surrounding areas in order to reduce the negative impact on parking that the scheme is very likely to have for local residents.
- 4) **Consultation ID:** 6301685 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Objection/Representation: I am in favour of this scheme because it tackles pollutions and makes the roads safer for my child.
- 5) **Consultation ID:** 6330082 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Objection/Representation: As the Government has stated that because of COVID-19, all schools will be closed until further notice. Does this mean you will be turning off

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

the cameras on the roads where schools are? I ask because I was sent a letter advising me of a fine as I had used a road that was closed to traffic during school time. It does not state if the fines will only be applied during school term time.

- 6) **Consultation ID:** 6338712 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Objection/Representation: I think this is a brilliant idea, it's reducing the amount of car fumes the children are being forced to breathe in while at school. It is also making it safer at drop off and pick up times, when people do stick to it. Unfortunately despite there being signs at the end of the roads, there are still people driving up and down the roads during the specified times. There needs to be some form of deterrent to stop those ignoring the signage.
- 7) **Consultation ID:** 6338840 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Objection/Representation: As been wonderful to have less traffic on the way to and from school.
- 8) **Consultation ID:** 6304129 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road
Objection/Representation: The School Street scheme was starting on 1st September but no road signs have been put in place (as of 14/10/20) as shown in plans. If the road signs are not in place then the scheme has not yet started because people are unaware of restrictions. Has the experimental period been delayed ?
- 9) **Consultation ID:** 6306875 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road
Objection/Representation: I support this scheme. It has significantly reduced traffic in Southcote Rd without excessively affecting parking in neighbouring streets. It provides valuable additional space to allow social distancing. It is also allowing older children to walk safely away from the school to meet parents, thereby freeing up space close to the school. I would like to see signs stating the hours of the enforcement zone at both ends. The vehicles currently driving in tend to be vans and without adequate signage they must be able to appeal any fines on grounds of not knowing.
- 10) **Consultation ID:** 6306942 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road
Objection/Representation: Very difficult to turn or go back if you go down this road. Also used often by residents. Also no notification to residents in surrounding roads. Very poor communication from Croydon Council again! Also signs very poor and easily missed for all of the scheme.
- 11) **Consultation ID:** 6340577 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road
Objection/Representation: I feel that the School Street scheme has had a resoundingly positive effect on Southcote Road since September 2020. The road is much quieter and safer for school children both in the mornings and after school. It has stopped the consistently reckless and inconsiderate driving and parking which used to be a daily occurrence outside Ridgeway Primary School and has created a calm and safe environment for the children. I think it has also encouraged more

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

children to walk to school which is also another positive result of the scheme. I fully support the School Street scheme and hope it will continue after the consultation period ends. Please contact me if you require any further comment.

- 12) **Consultation ID:** 6340778 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road
Objection/Representation: I support the scheme. It has made the road noticeably safer and facilitated more children walking and cycling to school. Also providing space for social distancing for parents and families outside the school.
- 13) **Consultation ID:** 6340785 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road
Objection/Representation: The scheme so far has been brilliant and makes the road immediately outside of Ridgeway School much safer.
- 14) **Consultation ID:** 6340869 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road
Objection/Representation: Brilliant. Much safer for children and parents. Has encouraged more children to cycle to school. Also perfect for social distancing
- 15) **Consultation ID:** 6343421 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road
Objection/Representation: The hours of enforcement are unnecessarily long, I have lived near Ridgeway school for about 20 years, the area is only congested at drop off & pick up times, ie 0830-0900 & 2.45pm - 3.15pm, by 3.20 the traffic has gone. I cannot see any reason to enforce the closure outside these times as the children are either in school or have gone home. The pre & after school clubs/activities cause negligible traffic. Croydon has the longest hours of enforcement of any London borough, at 3 hours 30 mins, Camden, Westminster, Redbridge, Waltham Forest only close their school streets for 1 hour 30 mins, many others are about 2 hours, why such a huge variance. The scheme does not reduce congestion but displaces it to already congested side roads. It creates more pollution by making people drive further to avoid the zone. I am against this scheme, I believe it is just a way of raising revenue.
- 16) **Consultation ID:** 6343552 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road
Objection/Representation: I am not debating the zone making the air cleaner nor improving the safety of children. While very annoying for any driver parent on a rainy day, these are probably positive outcomes of the initiative. But the markings of the zone are certainly lacking, inappropriate and insufficient. There is no chance of someone driving a car, especially a small car with limited view upwards, turning into the restricted street as you would, will ever noticing and considering the complexity of the situation - creating an un fair and expensive trap for many drivers. The signs are way too high and nor clear enough. There should be VERY clear warning painting on the tarmac itself, an there is absoluteluy none. As a parent, it's reasonable for me to be happy with the positives - but as a driver, I think that the way this zone has been implemented is not an ergonomic job, and a deliberate trap, serving to unfairly punish many road users. For the zone to work in a fair way, please consider painting the road on both entry points with a big and very clear warning pattern of some sort, and please

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

make the vertical signs readable and understandable at a glance by a driver from an actual car.

- 17) **Consultation ID:** 6340778 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road
Objection/Representation: I support the scheme. It has made the road noticeably safer and facilitated more children walking and cycling to school. Also providing space for social distancing for parents and families outside the school.
- 18) **Consultation ID:** 6295431 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: This is the only reasonable access from the Brighton Road to Selsdon Road - the other Road via the Swan and Sugar loaf is too congested and very difficult to execute a right turn into since the Tesco Carpark opened.
- 19) **Consultation ID:** 6299527 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: This Road is a necessary through route for traffic traveling between Brighton Road into Selsdon Road. By you closing the road at Rush Hour times you are increasing journey times for motorists, creating traffic congestion on other roads, creating more unnecessary pollution and diverting traffic to already over congested roads. There has been no publicity put out by the Council on this rather ill thought-out scheme. The signage put in place is inadequate and unless you are aware of the scheme being put in place, a motorist is not able to see the signage. I would suggest that the signage should have flashing amber lights in place during hours of operation such as those used for many years for "School Crossing Patrols" outside schools.
- 20) **Consultation ID:** 6299893 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: Why has this been introduced? Why have you not put a no right turn sign to warn drivers of this new contravention before the road entrance? The sign is obstructed but buses and other road users so you can't read it in time before crossing the line. You can't reverse or U turn onto oncoming traffic as its dangerous. So better waring on the approach would be better. Where does the money go from fines?
- 21) **Consultation ID:** 6300046 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: Having driven up this road for years I object to the closure of this road. Whilst I understand the need for safe streets for children the school in question only omits and receives children at certain times.
- 22) **Consultation ID:** 6300776 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: I don't understand why the council would only section of certain part of Haling Road, this scheme as made the situation worse than what it was before where people think its okay to either park on our drive or across it therefore blocking us. we who lives on this section of Haling Road are unable to move freely,

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

This is not acceptable. People taking their children to school then have the cheek to be leaving their cars all day in our parking space.

- 23) **Consultation ID:** 6304304 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: Are PCN the best way to restrict traffic flow during school hours? – Restricting traffic = sure, this makes sense – Charging drivers via PCN = I do not agree this is the correct and fair method. If the council are serious about restricting then perhaps invest in significant warning signage and perhaps temporary barriers that the school can operate to close off.
- 24) **Consultation ID:** 6304646 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: This is absolutely wrong the way Council has introduced these restrictions. Residents were made aware of these changes. If yes, how as we have never received any notification. Loved here for more than 20 years and using these streets and suddenly you find out by receiving penalty notice. Seems like a money grabbing scheme. Need to have proper communication and to get this notice to be withdrawn
- 25) **Consultation ID:** 6304647 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: If these restrictions are to be finalised why is the council issuing penalty notices. Issue these penalties when approved. I will need to get my penalty notice to be withdrawn by the council at once
- 26) **Consultation ID:** 6304652 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: I have been issued 2 fines for driving down this road, the 1st offence was on the 30th of September and the second time was in the 2nd of October both fines £65. As this is under a 6 month trial period I think it's very unfair to fine anyone for going down this road during this period. Some residents said they were issued warning letters, and others said they received a warning letter after driving down the road. Also I think there should have been more signage at the entrance, maybe bright yellow temporary road signs? I think Croydon Council have overstepped the mark here.
- 27) **Consultation ID:** 6304668 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: This is the only appropriate cut through from Brighton Road to Sussex Road. The loss of this route being one way means you are committed to the route before you see the signs, also this one way route was well developed before anyone inappropriately built a school at this site.
- 28) **Consultation ID:** 6304804 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: This is not obvious by signage to people that are driving and don't know the area.

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

- 29) **Consultation ID:** 6305274 **NEUTRAL**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: I don't have an objection to the scheme per se, what I object to is not receiving notice, as a South Croydon resident, that this was taking place. An email would have alerted me to this and I would not have suffered a fine for entering Haling Road. This is a road which I have driven down numerous times in my 42 years living in South Croydon, but only twice since Covid-19 lockdown (March 2020). Even now I still can't find out the actual times of restricted access I can only see 'morning and afternoon school run', which is not a precise instruction. The article on the Croydon Council site says there is a detailed report and FAQ page but it is not there. I feel it's very unfair to issue a PCN for £130 for a first offence during a trial which only started 1st September, with, as far as I can make out, little or no notice.
- 30) **Consultation ID:** 6305480 **NEUTRAL**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: I do not have any objections other than these parking restrictions could be emailed to ALL Croydon schools so parents are aware of sudden changes to roads
- 31) **Consultation ID:** 6305598 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: I was extremely annoyed that I received a penalty fine whilst driving home from work on this road. The fact that this is an experimental scheme for a limited time and the fact that it has not been widely publicised makes me feel that Croydon council are running this as a money making scheme to increase funds during covid pandemic which is already a difficult times for its residents. I feel the croydon council are taking advantage of its residents.
- 32) **Consultation ID:** 6305629 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: Inconvenience and this is look like trapping unaware residents to pay money to generate funds. Council services in decline and taxes are already high. If this is experimental scheme they should be issuing warning letters not penalty notices
- 33) **Consultation ID:** 6305649 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: The way in which this scheme was introduced was completely wrong as people within the community were not informed in a clear manner. It was just implemented without any real passing of information to us neighbours and therefore seems like an obvious money grab to catch as many of us out while you can and this is beyond disgusting and needs to be rectified.
- 34) **Consultation ID:** 6305719 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: Good scheme to reduce traffic on the road and outside school But there has been appalling signage and a chaotic introduction. Needs a sign on the road surface as the posts are too high for drivers to see Many friends and neighbours were unaware of the new zone and there is no indication or warning except

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

the signs high up this resulted in inadvertent fines which seem grossly unfair as the road has previously been used as a cut through legitimately.

- 35) **Consultation ID:** 6305790 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: Why we were not advised of these changes in writing as usual practice in the past 20 years. Can't be selective to send notices to residents and not to get them involved in the consultation process from the very start.
- 36) **Consultation ID:** 6305853 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: Lack of adequate notice and publicity for the scheme which is now penalising people who inadvertently and unwittingly use the roads at the prohibited times. I also can't find on this website the times which apply in Haling Road.
- 37) **Consultation ID:** 6305888 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: No advance warning & totally inadequate signage. People have been using the road for years & none of us knew about this or could see the sign it's so high up & cannot be seen whilst driving.
- 38) **Consultation ID:** 6306015 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: This has always been part of my route home to Birchend Close. The first I heard of the scheme was a penalty notice. I haven't spotted any signage for this change. Any motorist seeing a normal street with cars all up the sides will assume it is a normal street, not a 'pedestrianised zone'.
- 39) **Consultation ID:** 6306143 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: I agree with the scheme of limiting traffic near schools, but there needs to be another warning sign earlier on Haling road. As a regular driver on this road since September 2019, I did not notice the signs when turning right onto restricted street as a driver's focus is the road ahead. The first I knew of the changes was receiving a parking charge. So that other drivers who are not from Croydon have advance warning, please install a sign that flashes the car reg with the warning 'Pedestrian zone ahead. So that they can stop and take an alternative route.
- 40) **Consultation ID:** 6306589 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: There should be large, visible warning signs when turning into the area from Brighton Road that you are potentially entering a zone. This is not apparent at the moment.
- 41) **Consultation ID:** 6306843 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: I live up the road from Haling Park Road and always drive up the road in order to get home. Now I have to drive a further distance (with more traffic) to reach home. The signs are extremely badly signed. Not clear at all.

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

Should have signs by the petrol station. Also, as primary school teacher myself, I think children should be looking out for traffic as it's a life skill.

- 42) **Consultation ID:** 6306943 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: This is a main route from Brighton Road to Selsdon Road where we live. It is not a fast road as only a short stretch, the problem is not the trough traffic but parents parking illegally. The alternative route through South Croydon is already very congested at school times with streams of children from Whitgift School heading to the station. A longer route via Sanderstead Hill is also very congested due to children coming out of Primary School, with narrow street access it is potential much more hazardous for children than Haling Road and I try to avoid this area at school times.
- 43) **Consultation ID:** 6306976 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: Absolutely pointless, just pushes traffic and difficulties with parking on neighbouring roads.
- 44) **Consultation ID:** 6307097 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: I have received a parking fine, but was totally unaware that this scheme was under way. I did not notice any signs. I feel it is unacceptable to fine someone who knew nothing about the scheme.
- 45) **Consultation ID:** 6307153 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: The school has been built in an area which has difficult safe accessibility. I question why a school was built here in the first place. The scheme displaces cars during school drop off time from Hayling Road to others in the near vicinity causing chaos. Parents walking with children still face the problem of cars but on different roads My main objection is the way fines are being collected from local people who were unaware of the scheme. When you drive round from the garage you have insufficient time to clearly read the sign whilst also keeping your attention on the road. if you wish to make this a car free area area (except for certain vehicles) during certain hours of the day then put in some system that will be visually obvious and I'm confident cars would drive back onto the Brighton Road. At the moment it seems unaware motorists are being caught out and are resentful of the fines being collected.. It is a money generating scheme but the main purpose should be the safety of the pupils without financial gain. The final decision on the scheme is in 2021. If it's a trial why are the fines so large, Why weren't people who live in the this part of the borough properly notified before the trial began. How will we be informed of the results of this consultation? Will we know what the objections were and the councils responses to these objections .Will it be a consultation where the scheme will just continue with no feedback to local people. Please keep me informed via my email.
- 46) **Consultation ID:** 6307308 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: There is no warning sign as you come off the Brighton Rd. The signage is small and easily missed. When you are driving round to turn right

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

into Haling Rd, your eyes are watching for traffic coming towards you, turning left into Haling Rd. Once you begin turning into Haling Rd it is impossible to turn around or avoid the route even if you do manage to spot the sign. Croydon Council need to give more more notice of these schemes and warning signs of new changes.

- 47) **Consultation ID:** 6307631 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: What provision can be put in place to ensure that motorists who have regularly used these routes (in some cases for years) are given adequate notice and a chance to find new routes? E.g. Manned enforcement in hi-vis on site in early days/weeks, emails as well as postal notification of PCN warnings for initial month (especially incase motorists have moved house and DVLA have as yet not updated addresses being given to Council
- 48) **Consultation ID:** 6317389 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: The signs are not clear from the main road; and when you turn in to the road you are immediately fined. So you have no opportunity to turn around and avoid the fine -so far I received 2 pcns in first week dropping off my grandson as signs were not clear; my daughter received 3 pcns when dropping off my grandson to Regina ceoli; although we have paid the fines,the signage was not clear
- 49) **Consultation ID:** 6318093 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: I drove down that road on 23/11/2020 completely unaware until I saw a Facebook post this morning that this road is restricted. This is because in my opinion the signage for this road and all the other roads in the scheme are completely inadequate. I live in Shirley and hardly ever use this road, I had no idea there was even a school on it. If this scheme is to reduce traffic and protect children coming in and out of school then there should be more signs and markings on the road so vehicles are clearly aware they are breaking the rules (for example the white hatching boxes). This scheme seems more like a fine trap to make money.
- 50) **Consultation ID:** 6320078 **NEUTRAL**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: I am a landlord of a property in Haling Road. If I need a workman or myself to access the property during the restricted hours can I get a temporary permit easily? If a work man arrives after say 9.30 but leaves between 2 and 4 will he be fined?
- 51) **Consultation ID:** 6323468 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: The change applied is too sudden and not appropriately administered. There should be prior warning that conditions have changed before approaching the turning. The signs are too small and located in such a way that the driver has to commit to the turning before seeing the notice. The reason is that the turning comes right after a "zig-zag" point in the layout. This means that drivers would have to reverse out of the one way street in order to avoid going up the road. This is pure unadulterated entrapment and feels like a desperate attempt designed to help plug the budget deficit in the council's funds by dubious means. I myself have been

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

caught out despite the fact that I have lived in Croydon and used that route for more than 3 decades.

- 52) **Consultation ID:** 6326065 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: I am not sure how this helps traffic in the surrounding areas, this has nothing to do with clean air or to encourage people to walk as raising PCNs after the event does not actually clean the air as you have already driven down the road. The signage needs to be in advance of entering the road and it should be extremely clear so that the driver knows before entering the road not finding out when it's too late.
- 53) **Consultation ID:** 6326074 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: Signs not well positioned. Too high out of view line. Surely the amount of fines issued means the desired effect is not being met. The idea is to stop cars driving in the road... every fine represents a car driving. Success would be no fines. Why such long hours. Why start at 2pm when the school doesn't close till after 3pm? A ban on this road will only send traffic/parking to other roads
- 54) **Consultation ID:** 6328351 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: There is totally inadequate signage for people unaware of this scheme. I've been using these roads for 10 years and have received a fine for using when I saw no signage. Additionally, if this "scheme" is really about schools the scheme should not be going on to 09:30 a.m. Other people need to get to work too and I pay my Council Tax to use maintained roads, not just for kids to use it.
- 55) **Consultation ID:** 6328599 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: Why weren't local residents given more notice to the changes to road usage and signage made clearer? E.g. a letter to local residents? Can I receive a refund for the penalty charge issued to me for driving down this road (a refund due to this poor communication from the council)?
- 56) **Consultation ID:** 6331934 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: This causes all cars to be moved and parents to park illegally and precariously in the roads leading from the main Brighton Road. This causes access issues to Brighton Road due to the nature of parking outside the restricted area. Access to and from Brighton Road is severely affected by the parents relocating the parking of their vehicles to drop off and pick up their children.
- 57) **Consultation ID:** 6337382 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: Inadequate signage. From Selston Road, the signage is not sufficiently visible if the driver is watching the road carefully. Warning notices were not properly sent out as claimed. Penalty notices were often not delivered by Royal Mail on time. Draconian steadfast refusal to waive penalties for any reason, despite

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

being in the early days of the scheme. This is truly vicious for hard working people on low incomes and councillors should expect to lose good will and votes

- 58) **Consultation ID:** 6337439 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: Very poor deceitful signage given the layout of the road advance warning sign of restriction ahead just as you enter from Brighton Road needs to be put in place asap.
- 59) **Consultation ID:** 6337561 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: The signage is too late in the turn to enable a motorist to not complete their manoeuvre i.e. by the time you see the restriction you are already in a one way street. This is a revenue making scheme. Whilst it is a consultation experiment no one should be fined, as the local residents haven't had their day. Most local people use "rat runs" extensively and do not care about local school pollution policies. Traffic on main roads is more damaging than flowing cars past schools.
- 60) **Consultation ID:** 6341090 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: You have placed inadequate signage on the south to north approach to the spur meaning that one is committed to turning right before you can see the sign. The sign is obstructed by CCTV sign and then a Telegraph pole. As it's a one-way street you can't even turn round how do you see it at the last minute. However, on the north to south approach there is a very large orange prominent no left turn sign which draws ones eye to it immediately and one is immediately on notice that one cannot turn left into the spur road. Such is the impact that one would drive straight past as a precaution and go back and study the times another time to make sure. Coming from the south travelling north there is no such warning and I'm glad to say that The London tribunal is allowing appeals to motorists caught in this way. The council parking people Anne Harman in particular has been completely disingenuous in this regard stating that the signage is prominent when it is not coming south to north and turning right. This is forcing people to go to appeal or simply to suck up the fine. I don't know where this restriction was advertised but it's certainly wasn't advertised to me and I live very locally.
- 61) **Consultation ID:** 10 Dec 2020 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road
Objection/Representation: Please could I register an objection to the current access/parking restrictions in Haling Road.
Signs make no mention of access for specific days other than Mon- Fri.
As an elderly resident I would like to see this amended to school term dates only (similar to Bromley's approach) and not include Bank Holidays such as Christmas day for example as family will not be able to visit
- 62) **Consultation ID:** 6291783 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Keston Avenue
Objection/Representation: This will have a huge impact on the drop off and pick up of my children who attend Keston. I have one in infants and one in juniors meaning I need to stay parked from the earliest point to the latest point. I am also either going to

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

or coming from my place of work meaning that I am extremely rushed both ends of the day. This scheme will increase the parking issues that are already present in the school and surrounding roads. Whilst I appreciate the frustrations of residents regarding parking and traffics volumes it's only for two very short periods of the day and feel that there must be an alternative solution to ease these frustrations and clamp down on inconsiderate parking rather than just making it very difficult and unpleasant for parents who have no choice but to drive to school. As a working parent I should be able to arrive at school without the added stress of being late to drop off or collect my child due to parking such a distance from the school.

63)Consultation ID: 6304581

NOT SUPPORTIVE

School Street/s: Keston Avenue

Objection/Representation: This scheme has caused chaos to Court Avenue residents. Keston Avenue purchased their properties with full knowledge there would be school traffic - Court Avenue did not yet are suffering consequences of this scheme. The traffic flow has increased dramatically with everyone using it as a cut through to avoid Keston at all times not just school times now. There are many people dangerously driving and speeding around the corner. I have almost knocked children over on several occasions who run along Court Avenue without stopping or looking at drives or cars coming out. I have a dividing hedge between my property and cannot see around the hedge only in front of me. I have urged the school to advise parents not to let the children run obliviously down the road for their own safety. I have encountered rude gestures and abusive drivers when trying to leave my drive as have workman visiting my property. Court Avenue becomes gridlocked during school time especially. At least 3 cars this week alone have been hit by the traffic trying to pass each other to get down Court Avenue, the pollution has increased and quality of quiet life has decreased. I have to move my car off the drive and park on the road if I plan to go to ensure I'm not blocked in. I don't always know when I'm going out and don't think it's fair when I have paid for a dropped kerb and have a drive as encouraged by the council. I already have to tolerate the extreme noise of the school, at play times, lunch times and outdoor sport and after school club - where the children are allowed to scream. I believe Court Avenue needs to be a No Through Road into Keston Avenue and a No Entry in to Court Avenue from Keston Avenue to restore the quality of life we in Court Avenue once had.

64)Consultation ID: 6304627

NOT SUPPORTIVE

School Street/s: Keston Avenue

Objection/Representation: I object to this scheme as it has made Court Avenue a rat run for speeding drivers. This has resulted in several accidents in the last 2 weeks alone. This narrow road with cars parked on each side cannot support this weight of traffic and it's only a matter of time before a child leaving the school is hurt. Surely the whole idea is to protect children. Would it not be better to close the road at the junction of The Glade and Keaton Avenue?

65)Consultation ID: 6304941

NOT SUPPORTIVE

School Street/s: Keston Avenue

Objection/Representation: I am a resident of Court Avenue. Court Avenue is now being used as a cut through for traffic as a result of the restrictions in Keston Avenue. Cars are not adhering to the 20mph speed limit and some drivers are driving in excess of the 20mph speed limit with little or no care/respect for the residents. My car was

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

badly damaged at around 9am on Tuesday 6 October whilst parked in the road. Nobody owned up to the accident and strangely no witnesses either but I suspect this was as a result of stubborn drivers not prepared to give way to ongoing traffic. The road is busy enough with school traffic without the added restrictions to Keston Avenue. Cars are parking over our driveways and have no respect for the residents. Trying to get my grandchildren in the car to take them to pre-school is a nightmare because the traffic is so bad. I strongly oppose this scheme being implemented permanently.

- 66) **Consultation ID:** 6305453 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Keston Avenue
Objection/Representation: This is putting the traffic problem into Court Avenue which is now becoming a rat run. In an already busy road which has a Doctors surgery under normal circumstances being open plus the parking from Keston School this is making it a very dangerous road for all concerned . I feel with the extra traffic it's only a matter of time till this results in injury or worse a death . Maybe the people who decide these rules would like to live in the road for a week to fully understand the concerns of us living here.
- 67) **Consultation ID:** 6305517 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Keston Avenue
Objection/Representation: I strongly object to this scheme as it's caused an horrendous problem for the residents of Court Avenue who overwhelmingly voted against it in the first place. We are seeing our road used as a cut through rat run with many parents parking across drives and walking around the corner to the school, We also have an entrance in Court Avenue that is used by many more parents than just reception. On a daily basis we have gridlock either end of our road and to date since the scheme went live we've had four cars damaged due to cars pulling in and out in tight spots to give way to on coming traffic! We have been requesting for months the council help but sadly unless someone is killed or seriously injured they won't do nothing!
- 68) **Consultation ID:** 6305525 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Keston Avenue
Objection/Representation: The problems it has caused Court Avenue is unbearable and it's 24/7 seven days a week as drivers use our once beautiful road into a rat run.
- 69) **Consultation ID:** 6305526 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Keston Avenue
Objection/Representation: As an elderly person of 87, it's become a rat run through Court Ave, and extremely difficult to cross the road with my walker.
- 70) **Consultation ID:** 6305792 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Keston Avenue
Objection/Representation: I am concerned about the amount of traffic coming off Coulsdon Road into Court Avenue and certainly not doing 20 mph, we also get a lot of children crossing this end of the road, and with cars parked from the surgery, station parking and people parking their cars from Coulsdon Road and above the shops there is an accident waiting to happen. Some mornings the traffic is queuing back to Tudor Close to get out of Court Avenue, and when the surgery is back to normal it will be

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

even worse, also the parking on the double yellow lines at the entrance to Court Avenue doesn't help the situation. I heard that "somebody" said that there wasn't an entrance to the school in Court Avenue, well I have lived here for 49 years and I can assure you there is and is being used, not just because of Covid 19 as "somebody" has stated. Court Avenue is also used as a rat run with people speeding.

- 71) **Consultation ID:** 6306366 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Keston Avenue
Objection/Representation: I live on Court Avenue. The school scheme and road works on Coulsdon Road has resulted in Court Avenue becoming a very dangerous road. A huge amount of traffic and often speedy. Someone will be knocked over before long. The parents of the children at Keston School use Court Avenue for parking which I understand but it is now a hazard.
- 72) **Consultation ID:** 6306515 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Keston Avenue
Objection/Representation: No mention of the reception class entrance (REDACTED TEXT TO PRESERVE PRIVACY) in Court ave where we have to endure vehicles, diesels in particular with their engines idling to keep warm in winter and cool in summer while they sit and text on their phones, so much for lower pollution! when any of us ask them to un block our driveways we often receive a mouth full of abuse. Our road is now total chaos in school times thanks to the Keston ave. closure resulting in a speeding rat run and increased parking in Court ave as I write this three of my neighbours cars have been damaged I am sure this will make no difference to your decision, this cash generating scheme will go ahead anyway.
- 73) **Consultation ID:** 6317676 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Keston Avenue
Objection/Representation: Please put clear easy to read signage that can be seen straight away. It will cause an accident trying to read it ! It is not fair to be given a fine with such insignificant signage if you have not been made aware of the new road closure
- 74) **Consultation ID:** 6319110 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Keston Avenue
Objection/Representation: I firmly believe the scheme is a success and should be made permanent. The principal reason for this is the huge increase to the safety of the children. Prior to the scheme being introduced, the length of Keston Avenue near the entrance to the school was parked up on both sides with cars, including across driveways. This had the effect of creating a long 'tunnel' which cars had to drive along very quickly in order to not get stuck in the middle with a car coming the other way up the tunnel (although getting stuck and blocking the road and holding up the 404 bus happened regularly). Children were of course trying to cross the tunnel of fast moving cars and it was so very dangerous. It's now wonderful to see the children so relaxed and happy on their way into school, even walking in groups along the middle of the road chatting to each other as there are now no cars. The scheme is also a success from an environmental point of view. I have never seen so many children walking or using bikes and scooters to get to school - even parents are on scooters which is great. I don't see any material downside to the scheme continuing - I understand that cars may need to take a different route during some parts of the day, but to be honest, for

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

the reasons mentioned above any sensible local resident would have already avoided this road during school start/finish time. Having seen how successful the scheme is I think that soon this will be the norm for all streets near school entrances. Congratulations on being one of the pioneers of this scheme and long may it continue.

- 75) **Consultation ID:** 6320199 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Keston Avenue
Objection/Representation: Residents of other authorities are unaware of scheme.
- 76) **Consultation ID:** 6328877 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Keston Avenue
Objection/Representation: For residents travelling regularly through those roads have to take an alternate route which is congested or longer Why can't you enforce no parking in that zone except for residents vehicle at that specific hours and allow the passage of traffic freely at all times. What about the PCN issued in the experimental times can we reclaim the amount paid ?
- 77) **Consultation ID:** 6331933 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Keston Avenue
Objection/Representation: We object to the Keston Avenue school street scheme. Last term, with the new restrictions in place, many more parents parked right along Court Avenue making it extremely hazardous to navigate. They park across people's drives, ignoring the white line road markings and giving oncoming traffic no where to pull in. Cars have been hit, drivers get abusive if you try to pull into your own drive, whilst others continue to ignore the speed limit. Given that Court Avenue has the 'Nursery' entrance, with very young children coming out, this is about the worse scenario. In fact that entrance should have its own yellow zigzag lines for their safety and speed bumps along the avenue would help stop so many drivers ignoring the 20mph limit. The area of Keston Avenue beyond the proposed restricted zone has a very steep hill and as cars back up on the hill it makes the junction with Court Ave even more dangerous. Children are crossing there with frustrated drivers who give them no right of way. Parents often park on the double yellow lines at this junction making it even more hazardous. To then add even more vehicles to this including those frustrated drivers/delivery people trying to get beyond Court Ave, it just does not make any sense to restrict the access to Keston Avenue. Better ideas would be extending the yellow zig zag lines outside of the Keston Ave school entrance further up the street maybe to the lamppost labelled 002 or the tree to the west of it. A traffic camera could be installed to stop drivers using the yellow zigzags as a drop off zone. Or make a part of the school side of the street a drop off and pick up 'No Waiting' area, further away from the entrance, so children who can walk in without their parents can be safely left there. In addition, the proposed timings for the restricted zone would be far too long as school does not start until 8.55am and finishes at 3pm for Infants and 3.15pm for Juniors. 8.30 – 9.15am and 2.30 – 3.30pm would be more suitable
- 78) **Consultation ID:** 6315931 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Montpelier Road
Objection/Representation: I live on Montpelier Road but on the section just next to the school zone. I have been told I can't have a permit, despite the fact the part of the road I live on has now been made into a one way street. This means when I have to drive to work (via Brighton road) I have to take a significant detour which at rush hour

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

adds approximately 10-20 minutes into my journey. I can't understand the reason to not allow all Montpelier Road residents to apply for a permit, especially as we have had to endure 3 years of Brick By Brick disruption..!!!

- 79) **Consultation ID:** 6 Oct 2020 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Montpelier Road
Objection/Representation: Please be advised all this traffic control measure has done is redirected the traffic to Purley Park Road.
As a resident of [REDACTED] (REDACTED TEXT TO PRESERVE PRIVACY) Purley Park Road I strongly object as I have been blocked in on my drive by the parents vehicles on 2 occasions already. There are no Traffic warden patrols on our road on a regular basis it seems very ad
So please take this email as a formal objection to the scheme unless traffic control measures are introduced on Purley Park Road.
- 80) **Consultation ID:** 6307948 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Dickenson's Lane
Objection/Representation: The parking exemption permits are not available. Accessing them online is not specific. Does not appear on the online map of parking restrictions in croydon. Sign for restrictions halfway down street. Signage unclear/misleading. I have complained before and was ignored. Building work on alternative route means I have to drive through restrictions.
- 81) **Consultation ID:** 6306336 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road
Objection/Representation: Live within the street limitation. frequently required to take my elderly parents to early morning hospital appointment. Residents should not be charged to leave their own homes. Those effected should receive free yearly permits if kept in place .
- 82) **Consultation ID:** 6306382 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road
Objection/Representation: I am self -employed going and leaving my house any time. The house owners should not be put in a disadvantage position the patent of the children should be disallowed to park close to the school Apart from the period stated , the road is free This is fair on us
- 83) **Consultation ID:** 6306509 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road
Objection/Representation: 1.We have 3 cars in our family and the cost is going to be high 2.The traffic is low 3. It is quiet And peaceful Road
- 84) **Consultation ID:** 6312700 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road
Objection/Representation: live in Thomson crescent and have not been given a permit and have in error have driven into this road twice this week ,if I recieve a fine it will cause me extreme financial difficulties.

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

- 85) **Consultation ID:** 6315144 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road
Objection/Representation: It's just catching poor parents who drops off their children in school and go for other errands. Personally, I am a working mother of two who sometimes have challenges in childcare, I sometimes leave early from work , come home to pick up my children and go to school with them, it is so hard for me to walk with them all the times considering the distance to school from my house. It is so difficult for most workin parents like me because I can't even pass through the school to drop off. If you had imposed drop off areas that could have been better than catching up people/drivers like that.
- 86) **Consultation ID:** 6339298 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Marston Way Woodend
Objection/Representation: No objections. It's a great idea.
- 87) **Consultation ID:** 6338656 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Objection/Representation:
Marston Way - I am a mental health social worker and received a ticket on this road. I feel the signs need to be placed before you get to the turning. The road that you turn off is busy and often has lots of parked cars. It is impossible to turn back if you are not aware of the rules.

Oakley road - I live in the road parallel and have found our road busier in the mornings and at pick up time. So it's just moving the problem along. I also think the times are too long and I now have to completely avoid this road for fear of getting the time wrong and getting a fine. Ultimately this is a money making scheme for the council. I think it's disgusting that residents are being used to drum up funds after years of bad management from the leaders of the council.
- 88) **Consultation ID:** 6306928 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Oakley Road & Sandown Road Southcote Road
Objection/Representation: Fears that this will extend to others schools making it nigh on impossible to park or travel.
- 89) **Consultation ID:** 6300980 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road Haling Road
Objection/Representation: I think it is causing more traffic on Ridgeway Road rather than getting people to walk to school. Because the Ridgeway is double parked only allowing one car at a time to drive down. Please send someone down in the morning to see the madness.
- 90) **Consultation ID:** 6304624 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road Haling Road
Objection/Representation: I fully support the scheme but publicity has been poor. We have lived in the area for over 20 years - including living in the next road to Haling Road. My wife was not aware and drove up the road - the signs are not clear enough during busy time. We only received a warning - a sensible approach - but would be good to make those who live locally more aware not just those in the immediate area.

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

But I think this a great idea and fully support it having had 2 children at Ridgeway school..

- 91) **Consultation ID:** 6305626 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road Haling Road
Objection/Representation: Absolutely disgraceful money making scheme in place to off-set the £1.5 billion debt Croydon council has accumulated. It is not for the school children or for the parents as the statistics do not show increased injuries during these times. Having a 1.5 hour and 2 hour penalty for going down public access streets is a new low for the council. Scrap the idea!
- 92) **Consultation ID:** 6306968 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road Haling Road
Objection/Representation: I am broadly in favour of the scheme but feel it has been poorly communicated to people who potentially live in the restricted zones but not close by. I now have a penalty notice for the Haling Road route which had I been alerted would not have entered. The sign was poorly placed too close to the restriction and gave inadequate warning before I was on a one way street unable to avoid the camera. I will pay the £65 but feel that you should contact me and treat this as a formal complaint and reimburse me.
- 93) **Consultation ID:** 6326002 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road Haling Road
Objection/Representation: The signs are extremely unclear, which I believe is purposely done to ensure more drivers are caught and fined- if the purpose is to improve safety and air quality near schools, then this is not being achieved if drivers are still driving down the roads because of unclear road signs. Drivers are very clear about not going down a road with a no entry sign, though they are not aware of a white sign that is not commonly used. These should be replaced with a very clear, brighter sign.
- 94) **Consultation ID:** 6330249 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road Haling Road
Objection/Representation: The announcement about the introduction of these schools streets is poor. The signs are at junctions on narrow roads, above the drivers' sight lines, so a driver turning in to the road is not likely to see the signs. The should be advanced warning signs and markings on roads and/or altered road surface to alert drivers to the restriction. The advanced warning sign for Haling Road should be at the the junction with Brighton Road as the substantial majority of vehicles turning into this road intend to use the restricted area, which is not visible from the junction.
- 95) **Consultation ID:** 6340563 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road Haling Road
Objection/Representation: I think it is causing more traffic on Ridgeway Road rather than getting people to walk to school. Because the Ridgeway is double parked only allowing one car at a time to drive down. Please send someone down in the morning to see the madn 1. I am strongly in favour of these schemes. My children attend Ridgeway School and the Southcote Road scheme has made a significant improvement to road safety. 2. In order to better balance the benefits of the scheme against the inconvenience it causes to local residents, I would favour a reduction in

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

the hours of operation of the Southcote Road scheme to 8.15-9.15 am and 2.30-3.30 pm. 3. It is essential that road signs relating to the schemes are adequate and fair to drivers. The signage for the Southcote Road scheme is satisfactory, but the signage for the Haling Road scheme is wholly inadequate. There should be clear warning signs at both entrances to Haling Road from Brighton Road, instead of signs only being at the entrance to the limb of Haling Road on which the school is located. The signs should also be at a position, angle and height which is within drivers' line of sight. The hundreds of fines which have been issued in relation to this scheme show that something is badly wrong, and in my opinion those fines are all unlawful and liable to be quashed by a court or tribunal by reason of the inadequate signage.

- 96) **Consultation ID:** 6342155 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road Keston Avenue
Objection/Representation: No objections - excellent schemes. Care must be taken to ensure signage is clear..
- 97) **Consultation ID:** 6300255 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Haling Road Montpelier Road
Objection/Representation: This are major Roads that join into other Roads and also pickup time can be very stressful with no where to park the vehicle to get to the School.
- 98) **Consultation ID:** 6337581 **NEUTRAL**
School Street/s: Haling Road Montpelier Road
Objection/Representation: Signage is inconsistent on all proposed roads and whilst adhere to MINIMUM requirements on some roads. Not on all. Also, to confirm this is not a money making scheme to fleece unexpected drivers i propose that all drivers that violate the rule get a warning in the first instance.
- 99) **Consultation ID:** 6303545 **INDETERMINABLE**
School Street/s: Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Objection/Representation: Can a map showing roads be included.
Officer Response
This was provided via email on 22 January 2022.
- 100) **Consultation ID:** 6317510 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road Keston Avenue Montpelier Road
Objection/Representation: These are popular roads to drive along and the signage is totally inadequate considering the penalties imposed. All roads should be available for all users at all times
- 101) **Consultation ID:** 6306836 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Southcote Road Haling Road Keston Avenue
Montpelier Road
Objection/Representation: I don't support these road closures as just pushes the extra traffic and parking one street further away. It is causing dangerous parking and gridlock on the ridgeway and Brighton road. It's also dangerous because young kids have to walk further to get collected, possibly unaccompanied, so have a higher risk of accidents, especially as those driving are having to navigate the extra traffic nearby. The signposting could be clearer. It is very easy to miss the signs with the timing you

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

aren't allowed to drive down. In fact the ones on southcote have only just been put up, yet I know of people receiving letters for having driven down there despite there not being anything saying you couldn't until very recently.

Consultation ID: 6306839

School Street/s: Southcote Road Haling Road Keston Avenue
Montpelier Road

This is a repeat of consultation ID 6306836 and made by the same individual just a few minutes apart. Likely to have occurred as maybe individual wasn't sure if the previous one had actually submitted.

102) **Consultation ID:** 6306973 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**

School Street/s: Southcote Road Haling Road Keston Avenue
Montpelier Road

Objection/Representation: As a resident in the area I have friends and family living on these roads which I visit I don't what I should be penalised for this?

103) **Consultation ID:** 6306827 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**

School Street/s: Oakley Road & Sandown Road Southcote Road
Haling Road Montpelier Road
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: The roads around get gridlocked. The parents just park in the nearby streets and then are parked for longer. They do not walk instead of driving. The pollution with the grid lock is terrible.

104) **Consultation ID:** 6306829 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**

School Street/s: Oakley Road & Sandown Road Southcote Road
Haling Road Keston Avenue Montpelier Road
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: Just moving the problem to the next roads - causing greater frustration/pollution and danger to the children and residents. Lack of clear signage - drivers need warning before they arrive at the end of the road with too many things to read quickly and safely - leading to confusion and people turning around (causing more pollution and danger).

105) **Consultation ID:** 6338882 **SUPPORTIVE**

School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Southcote Road Haling Road Keston Avenue
Montpelier Road Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: Reason for excluding Disabled/Blue Badge Holder including potentially those potentially driving someone to schools or attending schools did not appear to have been carefully considered. This should have been clearer on paper and consultation (i.e. FAQ) and exemption including process for permit and criteria should be clarified rather than appearing arbitrary or more at the mercy of the council. Given these are multiple changes, you would have also expected that the council will include provisions for few months of warning notice to driver who may accidentally miss these warning rather than applying it immediately all after simple

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

online consultation which given the pandemic would undoubtedly be less effective. Else this scheme could be misconstrued as mere money making scheme rather than one for the good benefit of schools & residents within the area..

- 106) **Consultation ID:** 6299836 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
 Southcote Road Haling Road
 Atlee Close Keston Avenue Montpelier Road
 Dickenson's Lane Woodend
 Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: These schemes cause a ridiculous amount of traffic all day on the surrounding major roads, making it impossible to go anywhere. The signage and rules regarding access as a non resident are not clear and on roads such as Auckland Road I have been unable to collect an elderly relative for church fearing a fine as I can not get outside her home.

- 107) **Consultation ID:** 6299881 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
 Southcote Road Haling Road
 Atlee Close Keston Avenue Montpelier Road
 Dickenson's Lane Woodend
 Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: I live next to a schools Street school. All the scheme does is displace traffic to surrounding roads, with parents parking illegally or dangerously in surrounding roads (ie on main main road with central double white line, across drive ways etc). It makes surrounding roads untraceable during peak times. There has been no monitoring or policing and as the roads are not next to the school, it is out of sight, out of mind. This scheme does not improve pupil safety: parents Park dangerously and pupils have to cross more roads with far more traffic (due to displacement). Given covid restrictions there is the added dangers due to large groups of parents congregating. Every week the school sends out reminders to be considerate because residents have complained, yet they say the scheme is a success and never actually do anything to police the problem. It is misery for local residents outside the schemes (the capacity for parking close to the school needs to utilised to 'share the load'.

- 108) **Consultation ID:** 6300344 **NEUTRAL**
School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
 Southcote Road Haling Road
 Atlee Close Keston Avenue
 Montpelier Road Dickenson's Lane Woodend
 Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: I have less issue with the scheme itself what I have an issue with is the lack of signage! There is no signage at the ends of the road to advise people not to drive down it - it feels like a scheme to make money!!

- 109) **Consultation ID:** 6301363 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
 Southcote Road Haling Road
 Atlee Close Keston Avenue

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

Montpelier Road Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: My comments apply to all schemes. I think school streets are a good idea but the implementation is unfair. I believe that VERY MANY drivers will miss the signs and will receive penalty notices. Whilst those of us that are better off will be just angry for poorer members of the community these fines could be personally devastating. I looked at Haling Road and I know I could easily have missed the signs.

110) **Consultation ID:** 6303546 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s:

Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Southcote Road Haling Road
Atlee Close Keston Avenue
Montpelier Road Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: Yet another money making scheme for Croydon council. The corrupt council using motorists to recoup money they have squandered. As a taxi driver the job is getting ridiculous on the surrounding road.

111) **Consultation ID:** 6303677 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s:

Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Southcote Road Haling Road
Atlee Close Keston Avenue
Montpelier Road Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: This has not been adequately advertised, it is unclear what time these restrictions are as school times vary. Apparently there are 'signs', but I've seen none. It would be helpful if the roads were physically closed during these times with a sign in the middle of the road to ensure that individuals become more aware of this and prevent innocent, unaware individuals from being fined

112) **Consultation ID:** 6303766 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s:

Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Southcote Road Haling Road
Atlee Close Keston Avenue Montpelier Road
Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: The school Street schemes simply do not work. We live next to a school Street scheme and it has been an absolute misery. Traffic has simply been displaced to other local roads (out of sight, out of mind). which have seen traffic problems rise dramatically. (every week the school newsletter features complaints from local residents outside TGE scheme - but nothing is done to solve the additional traffic problems it is causing in surrounding roads. In addition parents often using more dangerous drop off points (such as main roads), negating most of the safety aspect.

113) **Consultation ID:** 6303846 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s:

Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Southcote Road Haling Road
Atlee Close Keston Avenue Montpelier Road

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: Too many restrictions on the roads, 20 mph, speed humps, one way streets, bus lanes, cycle lanes, tram routes etc.

114) **Consultation ID:** 6305326 **SUPPORTIVE**

School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Southcote Road Haling Road
Atlee Close Keston Avenue Montpelier Road
Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: The School Streets programme is a fantastic way to cut pollution in the vicinity of vulnerable young lung; encouraging a modal shift - essential element of carbon reduction; education children and their parents about the need to consider the environmental implications of their lifestyles; supporting healthy activity and enabling biodiversity to get more of a foothold.

115) **Consultation ID:** 6306037 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**

School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Southcote Road Haling Road
Atlee Close Keston Avenue Montpelier Road
Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: The pandemic has left council coffers short as the governments spend what you like, we have got this covered promise was not as you thought. But this is purely a cash generator, if you want it to be about covid distancing you should only be punishing the parents who would generally be clogging up the roads at pick up times not the unsuspecting motorist navigating around the borough. You could have one of your leigions of wardens enforcing the TRAFFIC in the road.

116) **Consultation ID:** 6314529 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**

School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Southcote Road Haling Road
Atlee Close Keston Avenue Montpelier Road
Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: It's unfair and unjustified, car users pay road tax and we should be allowed to drive on the roads freely . You are just trying to make money to fill your banks to pay off debts, yet you happily charge high council tax. Labour OUT! Also try enforcing the low speed limit you introduced but never enforced pampsiford road, people always speed and drive more than 20MPH!

117) **Consultation ID:** 6325861 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**

School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Southcote Road Haling Road
Atlee Close Keston Avenue Montpelier Road
Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: Public road Outrageous fining Signs not clear - too high - no notice before turn to road particularly Haling road

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

- 118) **Consultation ID:** 6325920 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Southcote Road Haling Road
Atlee Close Keston Avenue Montpelier Road
Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road
- Objection/Representation:** I think this is ridiculous , I have had a fine already as was not aware of this scheme I've had many friends also been fined , it is an utter joke ... roads that we have been going up for years have had these cameras put up in a flash and stupid signs that are not appropriate for people who have been driving on these roads for years , one of the signs on Thompson crescent isn't even lit up ... the bulb on the sign obviously does not work, hopefully who ever dreamed up this hair brained scheme will come to there senses and scrap it ... I like thousands of others are extremely angry as it seems the councils will stop at nothing to take money from people by any means possible ... !!! And the amount of near on accidents I've seen because people stop dead to read the sign before going up the road is unbelievable, drivers are now petrified of accidentally driving up one of these roads and are paying more attention to reading these stupid signs than concentrating on the road itself ... seriously if the councils concern is the safety of children then block these roads of totally ... but that won't happen because it's not about the safety of the children it's about getting money out of the drivers ... absolute shambles.
- 119) **Consultation ID:** 6326053 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Southcote Road Haling Road
Atlee Close Keston Avenue Montpelier Road
Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road
- Objection/Representation:** I agree with the scheme which has great benefits but the hours are too long and should be limited to school opening and closing hours only. Anything outside of those hours is unnecessary and actually has no rationale backing it, it's a clear aim to fine drivers for no reason. Other nearby boroughs have shorter hours, so please align with them. Please also make the signs more obvious, especially at nearby junctions. Lots of drivers unfairly get fined because of these unclear signs, and can't turn around in time. Thanks.
- 120) **Consultation ID:** 6326105 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Southcote Road Haling Road
Atlee Close Keston Avenue Montpelier Road
Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road
- Objection/Representation:** I understand the scheme is about improving safety and air pollution. However, what is the statistic of pupils getting hurt by cars on these streets? Has the research been done and does the result back up the need of imposing these rules? If not, what data are you basing on? There are inefficient signages on the road to these restriction. By the time the drivers see it, read it and digest it, they would have entered the road already. It is not surprising that most residents feel like this is a

Appendix A – Representations received in response to ten Experimental School Streets

money grabbing scheme from the bankrupted council! If the council wish to improve safety, rising bollards can easily do the job.

- 121) **Consultation ID:** 6326136 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Southcote Road Haling Road
Atlee Close Keston Avenue Montpelier Road
Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: All these roads drive traffic onto main roads which cause more congestion at school times but lanes still empty 90% of time open all but lanes to release good flow of traffic etc.

- 122) **Consultation ID:** 6337558 **NOT SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Southcote Road Haling Road
Atlee Close Keston Avenue Montpelier Road
Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: I don't consider that the sighting of a school in a street warrants its closure to through traffic. Additionally the signage is not obvious enough - in Haling Rd in particular you are into the one way street before you see a sign (if you are looking for it) that says what hours the road is inaccessible- a) you can't get out of the street b) you cannot fully read the sign and check your watch or dash clock in the time available before you get rear ended.

- 123) **Consultation ID:** 6343399 **SUPPORTIVE**
School Street/s: Marston Way Oakley Road & Sandown Road
Southcote Road Haling Road
Atlee Close Keston Avenue Montpelier Road
Dickenson's Lane Woodend
Thomson Crescent & Chapman Road

Objection/Representation: I support these new school streets.
Marston way